```
1
    JOHN L. BURRIS, STATE BAR NO. 69888
    Law Offices of John L. Burris
 2
    Airport Corporate Centre
    7677 Oakport Road, Suite 1120
    Oakland, California 94621
    Telephone:
                 510.839.5200
 4
    Facsimile:
                 510.839.3882
 5
    JAMES B. CHANIN, STATE BAR NO. 76043
    JULIE M. HOUK, STATE BAR NO. 114968
 6
    Law Offices of James B. Chanin
    3050 Shattuck Avenue
 7
    Berkeley, California 94705
    Telephone:
                 510.848.4752, Ex. 2
 8
                 510.848.5819
    Facsimile:
 9
    Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10
11
                             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13
14
                                                Case No.: C00-4599 TEH
    DELPHINE ALLEN, et al.,
15
                 Plaintiffs,
                                                STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
                                                ORDER AMENDING BRIEFING
16
           VS.
                                                SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFFS'
                                                MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST
17
                                                CITY OF OAKLAND POLICE OFFICER,
                                                J. HARGRAVES AND/OR CITY OF
    CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,
18
                                                OAKLAND POLICE LIEUTENANT, C.
                                                WONG
                 Defendants.
19
                                                Hearing Date: January 9, 2012
                                                Time: 10:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 2, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor
20
21
                                                The Honorable Thelton E. Henderson
22
    ///
23
    ///
24
    ///
25
    ///
26
    ///
    Stipulation and Prop. Order re Amending Briefing Schedule
    Delphine Allen v. City of Oakland, et al., Case No. C00-4599 TEH
                                                                           1
```

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have noticed a motion for sanctions against City of Oakland

4

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26 Police Officer, J. Hargraves, and City of Oakland Police Lieutenant, C. Wong, to be heard on January 9, 2012;

WHEREAS, under the current briefing schedule, any opposition to said motion must be filed by Monday, November 28, 2011 and any reply to said motion would be due on Monday, December 5, 2011;

WHEREAS, the Defendant City of Oakland, Officer Hargrayes and Lt. Wong have not yet reached an agreement concerning whether the City of Oakland will provide separate legal counsel to Officer Hargraves and/or Wong because of any conflict of interest its current counsel may have in representing Officer Hargraves and Lt. Wong in this matter and said parties need additional time to resolve that issue before opposition(s) to Plaintiffs' motion can be filed;

WHEREAS, the undersigned counsel for the City of Oakland, Special Counsel for Officer Hargraves and Lt. Wong and counsel for the Intervenor, Oakland Police Officers Association, understand that Plaintiffs' counsel will be unavailable after 12:00 p.m. on November 23, 2011, to engage in any further meet and confer discussions concerning any amendment to the briefing schedule due and/or to participate in the filing of this stipulation and/or other Court documents concerning this issue;

WHEREAS, the undersigned counsel for Officer Hargraves and Lt. Wong are not making a general appearance for these parties at this time, but are appearing at this time for the limited purpose of requesting that the Court amend the briefing schedule to provide Defendant City of Oakland, Officer Hargraves and Lt. Wong additional time to resolve the issue of whether conflict counsel will be provided to Officer Hargraves and Lt. Wong,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT the briefing schedule on Plaintiffs' motion for sanctions against Officer Hargraves and Lt. Wong should be amended to permit them to have additional time to resolve the issue of their legal representation in this matter to wit: any opposition to Plaintiffs' motion would be due on or before December 12, 2011, and Stipulation and Prop. Order re Amending Briefing Schedule Delphine Allen v. City of Oakland, et al., Case No. C00-4599 TEH 2

Case3:00-cv-04599-TEH Document654 Filed12/02/11 Page3 of 3

1	any reply to said motion would be due on or before December 19, 2011. This Stipulation would	
2	have no other effect on the hearing date of the motion absent a further Order from this Court or	
3	upon any other dates currently set by the Court in this matter.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
5		
6 7	Dated: December 1, 2011	/S/ James B. Chanin and John L. Burris Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	Dated: December 1, 2011	/S/ Justin Buffington
10		Rains Lucia Stern, PC 2300 Contra Costa Blvd. Suite 500 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523
11		PH: 925.609.1699 FX: 925.609.1690 Specially Appearing for J. Hargraves and C. Wong
12	Dated: December 1, 2011	/S/ Gregory M. Fox Attorney for Defendant
14		City of Oakland
15 16	Dated: December 1, 2011	/S/ Rockne A. Lucia Attorney for Intervenor
17		Oakland Police Officers Association (ES DISTRIC)
18 19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:	ESTATU CAR
20	Dated: December 1, 2011	Thelton E. Afferman
21		Judge of the Judge Thelton E. Henderson
22		PRINT OF CE
24		OISTRIC!
25		

26